This article was downloaded by:
On: 24 January 2011
Access details: Access Details: Free Access
Publisher Taylor \& Francis
Informa Ltd Registered in England and Wales Registered Number: 1072954 Registered office: Mortimer House, 3741 Mortimer Street, London W1T 3JH, UK


Journal of Liquid Chromatography \& Related Technologies
Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
http://www.informaworld.com/smpp/title $\sim$ content=t713597273

## Correlations Between the Distribution Coefficients and the Capacity Factors in Reverse HPLC of N-Pyrrolyl Derivative Acids

A. Negro ${ }^{\text {a }}$; R. Méndez ${ }^{\text {a }}$; F. Salto ${ }^{\text {a }}$
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Cátedra de Química Facultades de Biología y Veterinaria Universidad de León, Leon, Spain

To cite this Article Negro, A. , Méndez, R. and Salto, F.(1987) 'Correlations Between the Distribution Coefficients and the Capacity Factors in Reverse HPLC of N-Pyrrolyl Derivative Acids', Journal of Liquid Chromatography \& Related Technologies, 10: 12, 2789-2797
To link to this Article: DOI: 10.1080/01483918708066826
URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01483918708066826

## PLEASE SCROLL DOWN FOR ARTICLE

Full terms and conditions of use: http://www.informaworld.com/terms-and-conditions-of-access.pdf
This article may be used for research, teaching and private study purposes. Any substantial or systematic reproduction, re-distribution, re-selling, loan or sub-licensing, systematic supply or distribution in any form to anyone is expressly forbidden.
The publisher does not give any warranty express or implied or make any representation that the contents will be complete or accurate or up to date. The accuracy of any instructions, formulae and drug doses should be independently verified with primary sources. The publisher shall not be liable for any loss, actions, claims, proceedings, demand or costs or damages whatsoever or howsoever caused arising directly or indirectly in connection with or arising out of the use of this material.

# CORRELATIONS BETWEEN THE DISTRIBUTION COEFFICIENTS AND THE CAPACITY FACTORS IN REVERSE HPLC OF N-PYRROLYL DERIVATIVE ACIDS 

A. Negro, R. Méndez, and F. Salto<br>Cátedra de Química<br>Facultades de Biología y Veterinaria<br>Universidad de León<br>Leon, Spain

## ABSTRACT

The distribution coefficients in octanol-water of the dissociated and non-dissociated forms for eleven N-pyrrolyl derivative acids which possess analgesic properties and plaquetary antiaggregating agents are determined. Likewise the capacity factors have been determined by reverse HPLC, and a study has been made of relationship between the two types of coefficients.

## INTRODUCTION

The $N$-pyrrolyl derivative acids here studied are characterized by their analgesic properties and plaquetary antiaggregating agents (1) (2).

In biopharmaceutical studies, the determintion of the distribution coefficients between two non-miscible phases (3) (4) is of interest because it is very of ten an important physico-chemical property related to adsorption, tissular distribution, renal readsorption and other phenomena in which a through-membrane transport exists.

```
The purpose of this paper is to determine the apparent distribution coefficients in two non-miscible pnases (octanol-water), as a pH function, in the following acids :
(I).- 3-(pinenyl)-2-(1-|1H|pyrrolyl) propanoic
(II).- 3-(4-hydroxyphenyl)-2-(1-|1H|pyrrolyl) propanoic
(III).-3-(4-fluoro phenyl)-2-(1-|IH|pyrrolyl) propanoic
(IV).- 3-(4-chloro phenyl)-2-(1-|1H|pyrrolyl) propanoic
(V).-2-(1-|1H|pyrrolyl) ethanoic
(VI).-2-phenyI-2-(1-|1H|pyrralyl) ethanoic
(VII).-2-|4-(1-|1H|pyrrolyl) phenyl| ethanoic
(VIII).-2-(2-fluoro phenyl)-2-(1-|1H|pyrrolyl) ethanoic (IX).-2-(4-cinloro phenyl)-2-(1-|1H|pyrrolyl) ethanoic \((X)=\mid 2-(1-|1 H| p y r r o l y l)\) phenyl| metanoic (XI).- |4-(1-|1H|pyrrolyl) phenyl| methanoic and also determine the apparent distribution coefficients in two non-miscible phases (octanol-water) as a function of pH with a discussion of the correlations between the said coefficients and the capacity factors determined by HPLC in reverse phase, previously determined by us (1).
```


## MATERIAL AND METHODS

## Reagents

The acids studied by us were synthesized and characterized using IR and ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}-$ RMN spectrophotometry and elementary composition, the values obtained (table $I$ ) being identical with those mentioned in the literature (2). The purity of each acid studied was determined by alkalimetry. The values obtained are shown in Table I.

All the other reagents used were commercial ones of analytical grade and were not purified before use.

Determination of apparent pK .
The potentiometric method (5) was used, determinations being made at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in a nitrogen atmosphere, with aqueous solutions of each acid, $2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{M}$, at constant ionic strength, $0.1 \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{NaCl})$. The values obtained are shown in Table II. Determination of apparent distribution coefficierits.

Solutions $(0.125 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{ml})$ of each acid in a 0.04 M phosphate buffer, ionic strength $0.1 \mathrm{M}(\mathrm{NaCl})$. and $\mathrm{pH}: 7.0,6.5,6.0,5.5$, 5.0 were prepared; 10 ml of each of these solutions with 10 ml of
TABLE I

| m. P. 2 C | Pureza | $1 \mathrm{R}\left(\mathrm{cm}^{-1}\right)$ | $\mathrm{H}^{1}$-RMN (S) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1..... 95-97 | 97.3 | 3000, 1710. 1490, 1280, 1090, 1070, 930. | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.8(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.2(\mathrm{t} .2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & \mathrm{f.7(t,2H);7.2(m,5H);9.7(s,1H)} \end{aligned}$ |
| II.... 150-152 | 97.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 3440,3240,1710,1500,1260,1200,1050 \text {, } \\ & 1070,820,720 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.7(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.0(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 6.6(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.9(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| III... 115-117 | 89.0 | ```2860, 1720, 1650. 1500, 1430, 1280. 1210, 1090, 920, 720.``` | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.1(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 6.6(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.9(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.6(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |
| IV.... 128-129 | 94.5 | ```2940, 1690, 1270, 1220, 1100, 1090, 1020, 930, 820,760.``` | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 4.7(\mathrm{~m}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.1(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 6.3(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.9(\mathrm{~d}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 10.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |
| V. . . . . 87-69 | 95.5 | 3050, 1710, 1280, 1090. | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 5.1(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.7(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 10.0(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| VI.... 124-126 | 95.5 | 3000, 1710, 1230, 1280, 1170, 1090, 1070. 1030, 790, 690. | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.1(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.7(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 7.3(\mathrm{~s}, 5 \mathrm{H}) ; 8.6(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| VII... 176-177 | 88.9 | ```3000, 1710, 1680, 1520, 1280, 1250, 1120, 1070, 920, 810.``` | $\begin{aligned} & 3.6(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.4(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 7.1(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 7.3(\mathrm{~s}, 4 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| VIII.. 143-144 | 85.9 | $\begin{aligned} & 2900,1710,1480,1440,1280,1240,1220 \text {, } \\ & 1160,1090,730 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.3(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.0(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.5(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 7.3(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}) \end{aligned}$ |
| IX. . . . 128-129 | 89.8 | $\begin{aligned} & 3000,2500,1700,1430,1290,1110,1030 . \\ & 740 . \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.9(\mathrm{~s}, 1 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.2(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) ; 6.5(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H}) \\ & 7.2(\mathrm{~m}, 2 \mathrm{H}) . \end{aligned}$ |
| X. . . . 105-107 | 90.5 | ```3000, 1680, 1500, 1280, 1090, 1000, 1010, 920. 760, 730.``` | 6.2(t, 2H); 6.9(t, 2H) , $7.2(\mathrm{t}, 2 \mathrm{H})$ |
| 21.... 202-204 | 99.5 | 3000. 1680, 1520, 1290, 1200, 10\%0, 925, | 6.3(t, 2H) ; 7.4(t, z'H1; 7.7(d, 2H) |

## TABLE II

Values of pKa. Capacity Factors ( $k^{\prime}$ ) determined by HPLC.

|  | pKa | $k^{\prime}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 7.0 | 6.0 | $\frac{\mathrm{pH}}{5.0}$ | 3.5 | 2.5 |
| I.. | . 3.3 | 10.7 | 11.0 | 13.0 | 44.3 | --- |
| II. | 3.8 | --- | 1.7 | 1.7 | 11.3 | 13.0 |
| III. | 3.8 | - | 10.3 | 13.0 | --- | --- |
| IV. | 4.3 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 21.3 | 62.3 | --- |
| V... | 3.9 | - | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| VI.. | 3.5 | 4.7 | 5.0 | 5.6 | 16.3 | 26.3 |
| VII. | 5.9 | 9.3 | 10.7 | 19.0 | 60.6 | --- |
| VIII... | 3.2 | 5.3 | 5.0 | 6.0 | 17.3 | 25.7 |
| IX............. | 3.1 | 19.3 | 19.0 | 21.3 | 62.3 | --- |
| X... | 3.5 | -- | 1.3 | 1.7 | 10.0 | 15.3 |
| XI............ | 5.5 | -- | 4.7 | 13.0 | --- | --- |

octanol, previously saturated in water (3) were mixed. The mixture was stirred for two hours at $25 \pm 0.180$; after which the concentrations of acids : I, III, IV, V, VI and IX were determined by UV spectrophotometry, at 250 nm , and the remainer at 300 nm .

The $P_{\text {pp }}$ apparent distribution coefficients are determined by the equation :

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{a p}=\frac{C_{o} \times V_{w}}{C_{w} \times V_{0}} \tag{EquationI}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C_{o}$ and $C_{w}$ are the acid concentrations in the organic (octanol) and aqueous phases respectively, and $V_{o}$ and $V_{w}$ are the volumes of each phase used in the test. The values obtained are shown in Table III.

## Determination of capacity factors

This parameter has been determined by reverse phase HPLC, at room temperature. Conventional chromatographic equipment was used, its important components being a $\mu$-Bondapack C-18 column, irreguiar
TABLE III
Apparent Distribution Coefficients, $P_{a p}$, in Octanol-Water and Distribution Coefficients of the Ionized
Forms, $P_{i}$, and Non-Ionized Eorms, $P_{u}$, of the Acids Studied.
${ }^{\mathrm{P}_{\text {ap }}}$
핌

이 $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ} \underset{\sim}{\infty} \underset{\sim}{\sim}$



$\underset{i}{+}$
2.7
1

$\stackrel{\underset{\sim}{N}}{\sim}$
$10 \mu$ particles packed in a Waters Associates Inc. "Z-Module" radial compression module, and a Waters 441 semivariable wave length detector (254, 229 nm ).

The values of $k$ ' capacity factors were determined at the following $\mathrm{pH}: 2.5,3.5,5.0,6.0,7.0$, and are shown in Table II. To this end, mobile phases composed of 0.05 M phosphate buffer and methanol ( $70 / 30 \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) were used.

The equation :

$$
k^{\prime}=\frac{k_{o}^{\prime}+k_{-1}^{\prime}-\frac{k_{a}}{\left|\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right|}}{\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{a}}} \underset{\left|\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right|}{ } \quad \text { (Equation II) }
$$

was used to determine the capacity factors of the $k_{-1}^{\prime}$ ionized form and $k_{0}^{\prime}$ non-ionized forms of the acids studied (1) (6) (7).

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION.

Since the acids studied can be found dissociated or non-dissociated in aqueous solution, it would be of interest to discover the distribution of each dissociated or non-dissociated form between the two non-miscible phases (octanol-water) (3) (4).
$P_{i}$ is the distribution coefficient for the ionized form, defined by this expression :

$$
P_{i}=\frac{\left(A^{-}\right)_{O}}{\left(A^{-}\right)_{W}}
$$

(Equation III)
$P_{u}$ is the apparent distribution coefficient of the non-ionized form :

$$
P_{u}=-\frac{(H A)_{0}}{(H A)_{w}}
$$

(Equation IV)
$P_{i}$ and $P_{u}$ are calculated from the basis of $P_{a p}$ values experimentally determined at several pH according to this equation :

$$
P_{a p} \frac{\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right)}{K_{a}}+1=P_{u} \frac{\left(\mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{O}^{+}\right)}{K_{a}}+P_{i}
$$

Table III shows the values obtained for $P_{u}$ and $P_{i}$, as well as those for $P_{a p}$ at several pH , for all compounds studied.

As we can see, the distribution coefficients of the $P_{u}$ non-dissociated form are much higher than those of the $P_{i}$ dissociated form, as was to be expected. Most distribution coefficients of the non-dissociated form are over 100: acids I, III, IV, VIII, IX, X; acids II and VI have intermediate values and the only ones with a lower distribution coefficient for the non-dissociated form are $V$ and VII. It should be noted that acid VIII has the highest distribution coefficient in its non-dissociated form together with the highest analgesic power of the whole series of acids studied (2).

Regarding the distribution coefficients of the $P_{i}$ inonized form, only acids VII and VIII have values higher than unity, the others being lower, especially those of acids II and X.

A close relationship has been found to exist between the distribution coefficients of the non-ionized forms and those of the ionized forms for several of the acids studied, according to the equation :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log P_{u}=0.713 \log P_{i}+2.304  \tag{A}\\
& n=3 ; r=0.994 ; s=0.072
\end{align*}
$$

where $\underline{n}$ is the number of data pairs used, $\underline{r}$ the correlation coefficient and $\underline{s}$ the estimated standard error.

The existence of this relationship can be explained by the lipophilous character of the molecules in their non-ionized form also being transmitted to their ionized form.

The values obtained for the $P_{a p}$ apparent distribution coefficients, in octanol-water, have been linked with the capacity factors determined by HPLC (4) (8) with different mobile phases : $k^{\prime}$ ( $0 \%$ ), that is without methanol in the mobile phase, $k^{\prime}$ (30\%) with $30 \%$ methanol in the mobile phase. The ratios found by us are as follows :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log P_{a p}=1.735 \log \mathrm{k}^{\prime}(0 \%)-3.169  \tag{B}\\
& n=5 ; r=0.945 ; s=0.197 \\
& \log P_{a p}=1.309 \log \mathrm{k}^{\prime}(30 \%)-1.684  \tag{C}\\
& n=5 ; r=0.927 ; s=0.292 \\
& \log P_{a p}=1.018 \log \mathrm{k}^{\prime}(30 \%)-1.590  \tag{D}\\
& n=4 ; r=0.988 ; s=0.050
\end{align*}
$$

The data used to obtain these ratios are those obtained at $\mathrm{pH}=7.0$ for $P_{a p}$ and $k^{\prime}$, except those of equation ( $C$ ) which correspond to determinations made at $\mathrm{pH}=6.0$.

The ratios between the capacity factors and the apparent distribution coefficients in octanol-water of the dissociated and non-dissociated forms of the $N$-pyrrolyl derivative acids studied were also determined, with these results :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \log k^{\prime}=1.587 \log P_{i}+2.234  \tag{E}\\
& n=3 ; r=0.995 ; s=0.039 \\
& \log k^{\prime}=0.690 \log P_{u}-0.966  \tag{F}\\
& n=3 ; r=0.999 ; s=0.010 \\
& \log k_{0}^{\prime}=0.806 \log P_{u}-0.505  \tag{G}\\
& n=3 ; r=0.994 ; s=0.025 \\
& \log k_{-1}^{\prime}=0.684 \log P_{i}+1.711  \tag{H}\\
& n=3 ; r=0.999 ; s=0.029
\end{align*}
$$

The above equations correspond to straight lines, which allows us to postulate that the distribution process in octanol-water is reasonably analogous to the one ocurring in the chromatographic system constituted by a stationary phase of octadecilsilica and a mobile phase the polarity of which depends on cases and is constituted by a phosphate buffer and mixed with methanol.

Given the acceptable ratios found between $P_{a p}$ and $k$ ', we may deduce that the $k^{\prime}$ values may throw light on the lipoplilous character of the N-pyrrolyl derivative acids here studied with the advantage that these parameters are easier to calculate than the corresponding values of the distribution coefficients in octanolwater.
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